

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 16, 2001
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

Orders were filed in the following matters extending the time within which to grant or deny a petition for review to and including the date indicated, or until review is either granted or denied:

B143398/S100697 Laura Dee Zahn v. Bill Lockyer et al. – November 19, 2001.

B150283/S100221 People v. Sally J. Chavez; In re Sally J. Chavez on Habeas Corpus – November 26, 2001.

D038087/S100361 In re Henry Joseph Pedrazzi on Habeas Corpus – November 11, 2001.

D038484/S100206 In re Charles Silas on Habeas Corpus – November 27, 2001.

H019717/S099880 People v. Tri Minh Thai – November 13, 2001.

S016730 People, Respondent

v.

Raymond Edward Steele, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to and including November 16, 2001.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

S030956 People, Respondent

v.

William James Ramos, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to and including December 18, 2001.

S033436 People, Respondent

v.

Albert Lewis and Anthony Cedric Oliver, Appellants

The application of appellant Anthony Cedric Oliver for leave to file the opening brief in excess of the page limit is granted.

S037195 People, Respondent

v.

Jerry Noble Kennedy, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including December 14, 2001.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S056364 People, Respondent

v.

Albert Jones, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including December 18, 2001, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to serve a copy of the record correction motion on the Supreme Court upon its filing in the trial court.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

S074429 People, Respondent

v.

Drax Quartermain, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including December 11, 2001.

S087490 In re Martin Kipp
on

Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including November 19, 2001.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

S089357 In re Kristin William Hughes
on

Habeas Corpus

Based on counsel's representation that he anticipates filing the informal response within four months (that is, by February 8, 2002), the application for an extension of time in which to file the informal response is granted to November 9, 2001.

S093694 In re James Edward Hardy
 on
 Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's return to the order to show cause is extended to and including December 14, 2001.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S097765 People, Respondent
 v.
 Arturo Garcia, Appellant

In re Arturo Garcia on Habeas Corpus

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's answer brief on the merits is extended to and including November 19, 2001.

S098290 People, Plaintiff and Respondent
 v.

Michael Joseph Sparks, Defendant and Respondent

On application of respondent (Attorney General) and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief on the merits is extended to and including October 24, 2001.

S099547 In the Matter of the Suspension of Attorneys
 For Nonpayment of Dues

Due to clerical error on the part of the State Bar of California, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the order of suspension for nonpayment of dues filed on **August 17, 2001**, effective **September 1, 2001**, be amended *nunc pro tunc* to strike the name of **Douglas Eugene Powell**.

S099547 In the Matter of the Suspension of Attorneys
 For Nonpayment of Dues

Due to clerical error on the part of the State Bar of California, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the order of suspension for nonpayment of dues filed on **August 17, 2001**, effective **September 1, 2001**, be amended *nunc pro tunc* to strike the name of **Ronald Spencer Tucker**.

- S101269 In the Matter of the Resignation of **James Eugene Livesey**
A Member of the State Bar of California
The voluntary resignation of **James Eugene Livesey, State Bar No. 61921**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.
- S101270 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Neil R. McAllister Jr.**
A Member of the State Bar of California
The voluntary resignation of **Neil R. McAllister Jr., State Bar No. 20801**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.
- S101271 In the Matter of the Resignation of **David Marc Levy**
A Member of the State Bar of California
The voluntary resignation of **David Marc Levy, State Bar No. 88732**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.
- S101272 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Scott Hunter**
A Member of the State Bar of California
The voluntary resignation of **Scott Hunter, State Bar No. 40203**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.
- S101276 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Samuel Reisman**
A Member of the State Bar of California
The voluntary resignation of **Samuel Reisman, State Bar No. 16499**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.
- S101277 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Clifford Lemont Lindvig**
A Member of the State Bar of California
The voluntary resignation of **Clifford Lemont Lindvig, State Bar No. 36037**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.
*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

Inquiry Concerning Judge Michael E. Platt, No. 162

Upon the request of the Commission on Judicial Performance for the appointment of three special masters in the above proceedings, the following judges, selected by the commission from a list submitted by the Supreme Court, are hereby appointed special masters to hear and take evidence in such matter and report thereon to the commission. (See rule 121, Rules of Com. on Jud. Performance.)

1. Honorable Arthur G. Scotland
Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District
 2. Honorable Jamie A. Jacobs-May
Superior Court of Santa Clara County
 3. Honorable Peter L. Spinetta
Superior Court of Contra Costa County
- Presiding Justice Scotland is designated presiding special master.

Dated: October 16, 2001

Ronald M. George
Chief Justice of California and
Chairperson of the Judicial Council

**SUPREME COURT CALENDAR
SACRAMENTO SESSION
NOVEMBER 6, 7 and 8, 2001**

(FIRST AMENDED)

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Library and Courts Building, Sacramento, California, on November 6, 7 and 8, 2001.

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 6, 2001 – 2:00 P.M.

S091069	Amelco Electric v. City of Thousand Oaks
S092882	People v. Cooper
(S085213	In re Arturo D.
(S085218	People v. Hinger

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2001 – 9:00 A.M.

S091601	Hooker v. Department of Transportation
S091097	McKown v. Wal-Mart Stores
S082782	Hartwell Corp. v. Superior Court, County of Ventura; (Santamaria) (Werdegarr, J. not participating; Kline, P.J., assigned Justice Pro Tempore)

1:30 P.M.

S085224	Marks v. Superior Court, County of Alameda; (People)
S017869	People v. Kristin William Hughes (<i>Automatic Appeal</i>)

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 2001 – 9:00 A.M.

S086128	People v. Bunn
S085942	People v. King
S014497	People v. Dennis Lawley (<i>Automatic Appeal</i>)

GEORGE
Chief Justice

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must comply with Rule 10(d), California Rules of Court.

